

## Integrating Machine Learning into Neurosurgery in Africa: Opportunities, Challenges, and a Potential Future.

**Authors:** Makini Atuti<sup>1</sup> , Michael Magoha<sup>1</sup> 

### Affiliations:

1. Neurosurgery Unit, Department of Surgery, University of Nairobi 181-187

**Corresponding Author:** Makini Atuti, [brianmakini@gmail.com](mailto:brianmakini@gmail.com)

Received: 12-10-2024; Revised: 22-06-2025 ; Accepted: 22-07-2025

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/eajns.v4i3.7>

---

### Summary

With the growing availability of healthcare data and advancements in computational power, machine learning (ML) can significantly improve the field of neurosurgery. Applications of ML include predicting patient outcomes, improving surgical accuracy, and optimising care workflows. Despite these advancements, there are numerous obstacles hindering ML adoption, such as poor data quality, lack of standardization, and insufficient local technical expertise, specifically in Africa. These barriers complicate the deployment of ML models and limit their generalizability across different healthcare settings within the continent. This article provides a roadmap for successfully integrating ML into neurosurgery, highlighting the importance of collaboration between neurosurgeons, data scientists, and healthcare policymakers. A crucial step is assembling multidisciplinary teams to address data challenges and develop context-appropriate ML solutions. Equally vital is the establishment of regulatory frameworks to ensure data security, patient privacy, and model sustainability. Ultimately, while ML can streamline certain neurosurgical tasks, the core responsibilities requiring higher cognitive abilities—such as understanding patient needs and balancing treatment priorities—will remain with neurosurgeons, making ML a tool for augmenting rather than replacing clinical expertise.

**Keywords:** *machine learning, neurosurgery, Africa*

### Introduction

Machine learning (ML) lets computers learn from data, detect patterns, and make predictions. In neurosurgical care, ML already outperforms traditional statistical models in predicting patient outcomes and image classification (1). In healthcare, ML now processes vast amounts of data to deliver

clinically relevant insights. Advances in image analysis and automated result processing have fueled this adoption. A recent systematic review found that deep learning algorithms improve diagnostic accuracy, outcome prediction, and workflow efficiency in neurosurgery (2).

Machine learning in neurosurgery has grown from small experimental trials to powerful diagnostic and prognostic tools. In the 1990s, researchers used artificial neural networks (ANNs) to analyze structured clinical datasets and perform supervised learning tasks. By the early 2000s, ML moved into imaging: algorithms began detecting brain tumors from unstructured scans and segmenting tumors without manual input (3). Over time, models trained on large imaging datasets began outperforming expert clinicians in tumor diagnosis (3, 4). More recently, ML in neurosurgery has expanded to outcome prediction, complication risk stratification, and automated image interpretation. Yet despite these advances, its adoption in Africa trails behind research (4).

Computational advances and growing health datasets now make ML viable in clinical neurosurgery. But many settings still lack the high-quality, annotated data needed to use ML routinely. Africa's neurosurgical demand is rising fast; expanding the workforce won't meet the need alone. ML tools can fill that gap. For example, a recent study on glioma segmentation using MRI from Sub-Saharan Africa achieved strong accuracy with a lightweight model, showing ML can succeed even with limited resources (5). Another narrative review in neuro-oncology found ML improves diagnosis, grading, and segmentation of tumors, especially where radiological and pathological resources are scarce (6). This article will explain what ML is and how it can improve neurosurgical care, describe its implementation process, review its current limitations, and explore its future role in Africa.

### **A primer to machine learning**

Machine learning turns routine clinical data into fast and actionable predictions, which

clinicians can use at the bedside. ML trains algorithms on chosen features to predict outcomes without explicit rule-based programming (7, 8). Supervised machine learning uses labeled targets to teach models what to predict, while unsupervised learning finds hidden patterns without targets (8). Clinically, supervised models already predict readmission risk and other outcomes with improved accuracy and explainability (9). Unsupervised methods can reveal disease subtypes and forecast complications such as cerebral oedema or raised intracranial pressure - key problems in neurosurgery (10). Together, these approaches let teams move from slow, lab-bound decisions to earlier, data-driven treatment choices.

The development of machine learning models in healthcare has advanced rapidly in recent years. Faster computers, better analytics, and improved software have driven a surge of interest in ML for clinical care. In neurosurgery, examples of ML models include real-time modelling of tissue deformation during image-guided operations (11), artificial intelligence applications to cranial procedures (12), and prediction of individual treatment outcomes in traumatic brain injury patients (13). Open-source languages such as R and Python have made it easier and cheaper for surgeons and computer scientists to collaborate on these models. Yet the progress is uneven. Data in many settings remain scarce, fragmented, and poorly organized. This slows the translation of promising ML tools into everyday clinical practice.

Machine learning could transform neurosurgical care in Africa. Yet most projects stop at the prototype stage. Interest is rising, but only a few neurosurgeons have built models for their own settings. Many efforts stall before deployment. A review of AI-health applications in Nigeria showed that models

trained on small, poorly structured datasets failed in real clinical use (14). A study on federated learning for chest imaging in low-resource African hospitals also found that strong model performance collapsed under weak infrastructure, poor internet, and regulatory delays (15, 16). In rural Rwanda, ML models predicted post-operative wound infections with high accuracy even using limited image and survey data—but implementation beyond the study was not described (17). The same barriers - poor data, limited skills, and policy hurdles - limit neurosurgical ML. Without early stakeholder input, clear rules, and well-curated data, promising tools remain research projects. For ML to work in neurosurgery, projects must start with solid data, align with hospital priorities, and show patient benefit from the start.

### **How can ML models be implemented in Africa?**

Implementing machine learning in Africa starts with identifying a surgically relevant problem. An appropriate problem has enough reliable data, clear neurosurgical consensus on management, high clinical or financial impact, and the potential to ease cognitive workload. Few neurosurgical problems meet all these criteria. One example is a model designed to predict surgical site infections after neurological operations (18). By using available data, this system can alert surgeons to patients at risk before infection develops. Still, thorough preoperative assessment by the neurosurgeon remains essential to identify risk factors accurately.

The next step is to build a skilled, multidisciplinary team (19). This team should include model designers, data scientists, and neurosurgical experts. A neurosurgeon who understands the clinical problem should lead.

The data team needs a machine-learning specialist plus staff to extract and clean data to ensure validity (19). Overlapping roles improve efficiency. For example, a neurosurgeon who can also validate data may handle a large share of the work.

With a clear problem and an effective team, the next step is to design an appropriate solution. The key is to align model performance with clinical decision-making. Machine learning tools should deliver the right information, to the right person, in the right format, through the right channel, and at the right time (19). Consider infection prediction after neurosurgery. A naïve Bayes algorithm identified postoperative fever and cerebrospinal fluid leakage as strong predictors (18). It then alerted surgeons to high-risk patients, providing accurate, timely, and actionable information. By contrast, the same model failed to predict length of stay after spine surgery. In this case, a non-linear k-nearest neighbors (KNN) model performed better (20). KNN provided patient-specific discharge and bed-allocation insights, again fulfilling the core principle of decision support—reliable information delivered when clinicians need it. These examples show that success depends not only on the problem chosen but also on matching the right algorithm to the right clinical question.

After building a solution, it should first be piloted with a small group of users to test validity and functionality. Their feedback guides refinements before wider rollout. Once deployed, the model needs ongoing evaluation to ensure it stays effective in practice. Because patient profiles and neurosurgical workflows change over time, the model must be updated regularly. Iterative improvements and timely fixes keep it reliable and clinically relevant (21, 22, 23).

## Challenges to Development and Implementation

Machine learning in neurosurgery still faces major obstacles in Africa. One major barrier is the lack of high-quality, standardized data. Records are fragmented. Private hospitals use electronic systems, while many public hospitals still rely on paper files (24). This creates messy datasets that are hard to clean and harmonize. Poor data quality then feeds into another problem: model overfitting and poor generalizability. Algorithms trained on small or single-institution datasets capture local patterns only. As a result, they perform poorly when applied to new clinical settings, limiting their reliability in practice (25).

Closely tied to these data quality issues is the problem of interoperability, which further compounds the challenge of building reliable models. For a model to affect patient care, it must be deployable across different settings, yet systems vary widely (26). A tool trained in one hospital may not work in another. Competition between hospitals often limits interoperability because facilities treat patient data as a strategic asset - fearing loss of market advantage, revenue, or patient trust if they share it (26). Even within a single hospital, departments may guard data to protect budgets or research output. In contrast, countries with advanced neurosurgical ML have national regulations - such as those from the U.S. National Coordinator for Health Information Technology - that mandate uniform interoperability standards (27). Similar policies could help align systems locally. While full interoperability will take time, early collaboration among neurosurgeons, administrators, and computer scientists is a practical first step to improving reliability across institutions.

Yet even when interoperability improves, another roadblock appears: the lack of technical infrastructure and support to sustain ML tools in neurosurgery. Despite strong interest, few tools exist in Africa to train and test neurosurgical data. Systematic reviews show that only 22.6% of published datasets for neurosurgery report external validation, and just 20% share their code or public models (28). Models trained on non-local neuroimaging data often perform poorly when used on African MRI scans unless fine-tuned with local datasets (29). Without ready-to-use scripts and local model adaptation, each new project must build, clean, and test models from scratch. This delays deployment. Developing and sharing tested models tailored to local data could help reduce these barriers.

Alongside these technical challenges, however, lie policy and ethical issues that are equally important. Clear national policies for data access, model maintenance, and accountability are still missing (20). Such policies are essential to protect patient privacy and consent, define how results should be used in care, and set standards for model updates (4, 30). Responsible AI frameworks in Africa remain underdeveloped: recent reviews report few countries have regulations addressing algorithmic fairness, liability, or post-deployment oversight (31). Similarly, analysis of ML-driven healthcare shows significant regulatory gaps around data ethics and oversight (32). Without strong policy, projects risk losing stakeholder trust or causing harm. Governments and the private sector must commit to appropriate policy formulation. A practical solution is to establish national or regional regulatory bodies that set clear standards for data sharing, privacy, and model approval.

## Towards the Future

What does the future hold for machine learning in African neurosurgery? Adoption may be slow and timelines unclear, but ML is poised to reshape the field. Answering three key questions can help map this path forward.

Which neurosurgical challenges most need priority in machine learning implementation? These can be widely classified into two categories: challenges in surgical treatment strategies and those assessing neurosurgical risk. Unclear neurosurgical practices can be given priority. For example, studies show that machine learning algorithms can identify patients at high risk for complications following intracranial tumor surgery (33). In addition, recent studies show that models can predict the likelihood of surgery in a surgical triage in a spine clinic (34), something that community hospitals find hard to quantify. This can improve the referral of patients. Therefore, there is considerable potential for models to assist neurosurgeons in identifying high-risk patients and in quantifying factors that are currently unmeasurable.

How can we overcome challenges in adopting ML in neurosurgery in Africa? Lessons from other industries show how early obstacles can be turned into progress. In the 1990s, widespread computer use was slowed by poor standardization and proprietary systems, as companies locked customers into closed cables and software (35). Over time, innovation and regulation established shared standards, making hardware and operating systems interoperable across manufacturers. A similar shift is now beginning in healthcare. The growing conversations around AI and machine learning in neurosurgery echo the early days of computing. For successful integration, surgeons must take an active role

- especially in implementation and workflow design - to ensure these tools complement, not complicate, existing processes (36). Reducing administrative burdens such as data entry, aligning models with clinical needs, and collaborating with regulators and developers will be critical. This teamwork can accelerate the safe, effective adoption of machine learning in neurosurgical care.

How might neurosurgery evolve in the future? Many repetitive tasks will likely be automated. Robotic systems already assist in drill guide positioning, and machine learning could extend this to fully automated drill insertion under image guidance, such as MRI (36). Robots have also been used for stereotactic biopsies, endoscopic navigation, and spinal instrumentation, showing improved precision and reduced operative time (37). Machine learning will further optimize preoperative planning, predict complication risks, and guide intraoperative decisions (38). These tools may increase surgical speed, safety, and accuracy. Yet the essence of neurosurgery will not change. Neurosurgeons meet patients at their most vulnerable moments. They must understand psychological needs, weigh competing priorities, and offer support - responsibilities beyond the reach of machines (39, 40). As these human-centered tasks grow in importance, they will define the role of the neurosurgeon even more.

Therefore, the future of neurosurgery lies in augmented intelligence, where computers enhance surgical skills and allow neurosurgeons to devote more attention to compassionate, high-quality patient care.

## References

1. Panesar SS, Kliot M, Parrish R, Fernandez-Miranda J, Cagle Y, Britz GW. Promises and Perils of Artificial Intelligence in Neurosurgery. *Neurosurgery*. 2019 Nov 21;87(1).
2. Yangi K, Hong J, Gholami AS, On TJ, Reed AG, Puppalla P, et al. Deep learning in neurosurgery: a systematic literature review with a structured analysis of applications across subspecialties. *Front Neurol*. 2025 Apr 16;16.
3. Schilling AT, Shah PP, Feghali J, Jimenez AE, Azad TD. A Brief History of Machine Learning in Neurosurgery. *Acta Neurochir Suppl*. 2021 Dec 4;245–50.
4. Staartjes VE, Stumpo V, Kernbach JM, Klukowska AM, Gadajradj PS, Schröder ML, et al. Machine learning in neurosurgery: a global survey. *Acta Neurochir*. 2020 Aug 18;162(12):3081–91.
5. Sidume F, Soula O, Wacira JM, Zhu Y, Muhammad AR, Zeraii A, et al. Resource-Efficient Glioma Segmentation on Sub-Saharan MRI [Internet]. *arXiv*. 2025 [cited 2025 Sep 16].
6. Al-Rahbi A, Al-Habsi T, Al-Suli A, Al-Saadi T. Artificial intelligence use in diagnosis, grading, and segmentation of neuro-oncology: a narrative review. *Egypt J Neurol Psychiatry Neurosurg*. 2025 Jun 11;61(1).
7. Habehh H, Gohel S. Machine Learning In Healthcare. *Curr Genomics [Internet]*. 2021 Jul 5;22(4):291–300.
8. Sidey-Gibbons JAM, Sidey-Gibbons CJ. Machine learning in medicine: a practical introduction. *BMC Med Res Methodol [Internet]*. 2019;19(1):64.
9. Zhang Y, Xiang T, Wang Y, Shu T, Yin C, Li H, et al. Explainable machine learning for predicting 30-day readmission in acute heart failure patients. *iScience [Internet]*. 2024 Jun 15 [cited 2025 Jan 1];27(7):110281–1.
10. Xu J, Yuan C, Yu G, Li H, Dong Q, Mao D, et al. Predicting cerebral edema in patients with spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage using machine learning. *Front Neurol [Internet]*. 2024 Oct 3 [cited 2025 Sep 16];15.
11. Tonutti M, Gras G, Yang GZ. A machine learning approach for real-time modelling of tissue deformation in image-guided neurosurgery. *Artif Intell Med*. 2017 Jul;80:39–47.
12. Dundar TT, Yurtsever I, Pehlivanoglu MK, Yildiz U, Eker A, Demir MA, et al. Machine Learning-Based Surgical Planning for Neurosurgery: Artificial Intelligent Approaches to the Cranium. *Front Surg*. 2022 Apr 29;9.
13. Adil SM, Elahi C, Gramer R, Spears CA, Fuller AT, Haglund MM, et al. Predicting the Individual Treatment Effect of Neurosurgery for Patients with Traumatic Brain Injury in the Low-Resource Setting: A Machine Learning Approach in Uganda. *J Neurotrauma*. 2021 Apr 1;38(7):928–39.
14. Oguche DE, Dimka BT, Godwin T, Mallo Jr S, Mangai MJ, Oguche S. AI-Driven Health Applications in Africa: A Structured Literature Review with a Focus on Nigeria. *Int J Res Innov Appl Sci*. 2025;X(VI):596–606.
15. Zhang A, Xing L, Zou J, Wu JC. Shifting machine learning for healthcare from development to deployment and from models to data. *Nat Biomed Eng [Internet]*. 2022 Jul 4.
16. Fabila J, Garrucho L, Campello VM, Martín-Isla C, Lekadir K. Federated learning in low-resource settings: A chest imaging study in Africa -- Challenges and lessons learned [Internet]. *arXiv*. 2025.
17. Fletcher RR, Olubeko O, Sonthalia H, Kateera F, Nkurunziza T, Ashby JL, et al. Application of Machine Learning to Prediction of Surgical Site Infection [Internet]. *IEEE Xplore*. 2019. p. 2234–7.
18. Tunthanathip T, Sae-heng S, Oearsakul T, Sakarunchai I, Kaewborisutsakul A, Taweksomboonyat C. Machine learning applications for the prediction of surgical site infection in neurological operations. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2019 Aug;47(2):E7.
19. Ray JM, Ratwani RM, Sinsky CA, Frankel RM, Friedberg MW, Powsner SM, et al. Six habits of highly successful health information technology: powerful strategies for design and implementation. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*. 2019 Jul 2;26(10):1109–14.
20. Shahrestani S, Chan AK, Bisson EF, Bydon M, Glassman SD, Foley KT, et al. Developing nonlinear k-nearest neighbors classification algorithms to identify patients at high risk of increased length of hospital stay following spine surgery. *Neurosurg Focus [Internet]*. 2023 Jun 1 [cited 2023 Jul 20];54(6):E7.
21. Yoshida E, Fei S, Bavuso K, Lagor C, Maviglia S. The Value of Monitoring Clinical Decision Support Interventions. *Appl Clin Inform*. 2018 Jan;09(01):163–73.
22. Harris S, Bonnici T, Keen T, Lilaonitkul W, White MJ, Swanepoel N. Clinical deployment environments: Five pillars of translational

- machine learning for health. *Front Digit Health* [Internet]. 2022;4:939292.
23. Jackson S, Yaqub M, Li CX. The Agile Deployment of Machine Learning Models in Healthcare. *Front Big Data*. 2019 Jan 8;1.
  24. Degoot A, Koné I, Baichoo S, Ngungu M, Liku N, Kumuthini J, et al. Health data issues in Africa: time for digitization, standardization and harmonization. *Nat Commun* [Internet]. 2025 Jul 1 [cited 2025 Jul 26];16(1).
  25. Cabitza F, Campagner A, Soares F, García L, Challa F, Sulejmani A, et al. The importance of being external. methodological insights for the external validation of machine learning models in medicine. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed*. 2021 Sep 1;208:106288–8.
  26. Saripalle R, Runyan C, Russell M. Using HL7 FHIR to achieve interoperability in patient health record. *J Biomed Inform*. 2019 Jun;94(103188):103188.
  27. Holmgren A, Esdar M, Hüsters J, Almeida JC. Health information exchange: Understanding the policy landscape and future of data interoperability. *Yearb Med Inform* [Internet]. 2023;32(1).
  28. Chan B, Kim B, Schonfeld E, Nageeb G, Pant A, Sjöholm A, et al. Publicly Available Datasets for Artificial Intelligence in Neurosurgery: A Systematic Review. *J Clin Med*. 2025 Aug 11;14(16):5674–4.
  29. Amod AR, Smith A, Joubert P, Raymond C, Zhang D, Anazodo UC, et al. Bridging the Gap: Generalising State-of-the-Art U-Net Models to Sub-Saharan African Populations [Internet]. *arXiv*. 2023 [cited 2025 Sep 16].
  30. Zhou J, Chen S, Wu Y, Li H, Zhang B, Zhou L, et al. PPML-Omics: A privacy-preserving federated machine learning method protects patients' privacy in omic data. *Sci Adv*. 2024 Feb 2;10(5).
  31. Ugar ET. Promoting Responsible Use of AI in African Healthcare: Strengthening Patients' Moral Agency. *Asian Bioeth Rev*. 2025 Apr 25.
  32. Mohammed S, Malhotra N. Ethical and Regulatory Challenges in Machine Learning-Based Healthcare Systems: A Review of Implementation Barriers and Future Directions. *BenchCouncil Trans Benchmarks Stand Eval* [Internet]. 2025 May 28;5(1):100215.
  33. van Niftrik CHB, van der Wouden F, Staartjes VE, Fierstra J, Stienen MN, Akeret K, et al. Machine Learning Algorithm Identifies Patients at High Risk for Early Complications After Intracranial Tumor Surgery: Registry-Based Cohort Study. *Neurosurgery*. 2019 May 31;85(4):E756–64.
  34. Broida SE, Schrum ML, Yoon E, Sweeney AP, Dhruv NN, Gombolay MC, et al. Improving Surgical Triage in Spine Clinic: Predicting Likelihood of Surgery Using Machine Learning. *World Neurosurg*. 2022 Mar 26;163:e192–8.
  35. Campbell-Kelly M, Aspray WF, Yost JR, Tinn H, Díaz GC. *Computer: A History of the Information Machine*. 4th ed. Routledge; 2023.
  36. Wagner CR, Phillips T, Roux S, Corrigan JP. Future Directions in Robotic Neurosurgery. *Oper Neurosurg*. 2021 May 29;21(4):173–80.
  37. Bocanegra-Becerra JE, Neves Ferreira JS, Simoni G, Hong A, Rios-Garcia W, Eraghi MM, et al. Machine Learning Algorithms for Neurosurgical Preoperative Planning: A Scoping Review. *World Neurosurg* [Internet]. 2024 Dec 5;194:123465.
  38. Schonfeld E, Mordekai N, Berg A, Johnstone T, Shah A, Shah V, et al. Machine Learning in Neurosurgery: Toward Complex Inputs, Actionable Predictions, and Generalizable Translations. *Cureus* [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2024 Jul 29];16(1):e51963.
  39. Crigger E, Reinbold K, Hanson C, Kao A, Blake K, Irons M. Trustworthy Augmented Intelligence in Health Care. *J Med Syst*. 2022 Jan 12;46(2).
  40. Iqbal J, Jahangir K, Mashkoor Y, Sultana N, Mehmood D, Ashraf M, et al. The future of artificial intelligence in neurosurgery: A narrative review. *Surg Neurol Int*. 2022 Nov 18;13:536.